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**Abstract**

Our research project ‘Dolls, building blocks and outdoor activity days: (un)doing gender in the nursery’ investigates how interactions and everyday practices in the nursery tend to either emphasize differences between women and men or make gender differences retreat into the background. Increasing men’s presence in early childhood education is closely connected to expectations of as well increasing professionalization of the occupation. However, there is also a huge contradictory discourse constructing them as potentially dangerous, somehow suspicious or ill-motivated. Men are not only positioned by but also have to position themselves according to these discourses.

Using discursive psychology (Edley and Wetherell 1997; Davies and Harré 1990) as well as the concept of doing gender (West and Zimmerman 1987, Deutsch 2007) as theoretical framework, we analyze how our interviewees position themselves as male childcare workers by engaging in several discursive practices. For this paper, the results of the narrative interviews with ten male childcare workers will be introduced. The interviews focused on their entry into the organization, their perceptions of daily routines, and their experiences in the interaction with their female colleagues.

Focusing on gender as a social and discursive accomplishment illuminates the mechanisms of gender inequality. Six discursive strategies that men engage in were identified: Three refer to discourses of gender difference, two to equality/sameness and one strategy, which highlights professionalism, seems to move beyond this binary gender structure. A better understanding of discourses of gender difference and sameness contributes to gender equality in the field of early childhood education.
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Research Project

• “Dolls, building blocks and outdoor activity days: (un)doing gender in the nursery”, funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

• interactions and everyday activities: emphasizing gender differences (doing gender) or making them retreat to the background (undoing gender)

• mixed method design: interviews with nursery managers, interviews with male and female child care workers, video-based observations
Men working in ECE: a paradox

Nursery education is strongly associated with femininity or mothering in particular

'ideal worker' (Acker 1990): competences & attributes that are perceived female, such as caring, nurturing, and comforting (Vogt. 2002)

male childcare workers run in danger to be considered as suspicious: subjected to the suspicion of being homosexual or paedophilic

strong discourse "feminization of education"

work of female childcare workers constructed as insufficient: the interests of -mainly boys - can not be met satisfactorily by women

masculinity as highly valued male: childcare workers as something new and potentially innovative

the unwanted other

the wanted other
Empirical findings
six discursive practices.

building the male niche
referring to the (symbolic) position of the father
referencing the ‘male breadwinner’

emphasizing equality
appropriating femininity

emphasizing difference
emphasizing sameness
emphasizing professionalism

referring to the professional pedagogue
Taking up the subject position of the professional pedagogue
four strategies.

1. Pedagogical Style & philosophy
2. Job presentation
3. Avant-garde
4. Agency
Develop a philosophy of working with children, special methods or a pedagogical style that shows your competence and talk about it. Try to distinguish it positively from other possible styles.
Reto: I also have a movement building site. ... well I do not even take nails out of the way here, that the children will do, and with the building site, that is to me a social building site, a life building site. they build themselves, and they need all imagination when they build something. They have to negotiate with each other, they have conflicts and they need to solve them and also have to explore, and then they discover static, and heavy, long, short, colours, materials and they build something and they are responsible for themselves, what they build ...
1. Pedagogical Style & philosophy
2. Job presentation
3. Avant-garde
4. Agency
Assess the job as being demanding and ambitious, let the others know you are working very hard and long hours.
Paul: And they saw something is happening now. A great, passionate deputy, does the early morning duty and is still here on six o'clock in the evening oftentimes, works 12 hours. And therefore due to this story there has always been a positive feedback from the parents. Or I realize these moments, when you come to total deepness to really basic topics in parent-teacher meetings, they talk about their life stories, tales of woe and you try to develop a strategy how they could get out of the spiral. For me, these are the really cool and intensive moments.
2. Job present
3. Avant-garde
4. Agency
Present yourself as being vanguard. Being in this position makes the others know you are a pioneer.
Reto: I prepare the setting outside, what is nice is that the female apprentices now come and say: „Look, Reto, we re-arranged the setting” and I realize that the first approaches or inputs that I gave back then were laughed at and [whistling]
“he has bats in his belfry, he has no clue anyway” Ehm, I realize now that slowly it comes back [...]

4. Agency

5. Avant-garde
Stay agentic. Make sure the others know that you have a rather high influence and freedom of action to a high degree.
Paul: At first there was the idea that I would change the job before the cancellation period ended. But then I said: "Wait, they will have a problem then!"
And, ehm, the children and the group they need to have a good process ending as well. And that is why I also blocked a bit. I think the goal was to stabilize and calm down the situation that would have been the idea from my side.
The subject position of the Professional Pedagogue

Summary.

Advantages of using the discursive strategy of referring to the professional pedagogue

- power of interpretation
- highly legitimate
- protective character
Professionalization - a masculine project?

- account for professionalization of Early Childhood Education paves the way for the entrance and integration of men in the field.

- by taking up the position of the professional pedagogue, men are able to resolve the conflict between the female connotation of the job and their gender identity.

- danger: implicit degradation of femininity & (non-) inclusion of men as "professional other"